

THE SALT LAKE
HERALD-REPUBLICANTHE INTER-MOUNTAIN REPUBLICAN
(Established Feb. 12, 1904.)
THE SALT LAKE HERALD
(Established June 6, 1876.)

Only Republican Daily Newspaper in Salt Lake City, Utah.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.
DAILY AND SUNDAY—One month, 75 cents; one year, \$7.50.
SUNDAY—One year, \$2.00.
SEMI-WEEKLY—(In advance), one year, \$1.50; six months, 75 cents.

Subscribers wishing address of paper changed must give former as well as present address. All papers are continued until explicit order is received to discontinue. All arrears must be paid in every case.



WHAT IS A REACTIONARY?

Regularly, every year, our friends of the American party get a new name and apply it to their opposition. Last year they called everybody a mossback; if he did not agree with them. This year they charge that every one opposed to the Bransford policies is a reactionary. It is a pretty word. Let us see what it means:

Webster—Reactionary: In politics, one anxious to undo reform, or to return to an outgrown condition of things.

They angrily apply the term to Mr. Murdoch, Republican candidate for mayor. Especially since Mr. Murdoch's speech in the theatre Saturday evening, the American party bosses have dwelt with great fondness on the brick which they call "reactionary"; and they heave it at him.

But Mr. Murdoch is not a reactionary. The definition does not fit him, because, in the first place, there is no reform in this city, or in this county, or in this state, to which he is opposed; not one which he would undo. And we may add that there is no real reform for which the American party can claim the credit. So they have no cause to attack him on that score.

And he does not want to go back "to an outgrown condition of things." Specifically, Mr. Murdoch does not want to go back to the day of no street paving, of no sidewalks, or no sewers. The charge will not be believed by any one who knows him, and every one in Salt Lake does. There is no more honestly and reasonably progressive man in Salt Lake than is James Murdoch.

But there may be framed another definition for the word reactionary, which we commend to our friends, the bosses of the American party. It is this:

Reactionary: One who is careful of other people's money entrusted to him; one who counts the cost before he orders work to begin; one who believes in the old-fashioned rule of justice and honesty and right.

For Mr. Murdoch is that kind of a reactionary—and no other. He voted against the paving of certain streets because there was a clause in the contract to the effect that the city's part of the payment was not to be made until after January first, 1910. That was an attempt to anticipate the revenues of a future year. If the council had a right to reach one year ahead and mortgage the income, the council had a right to go two years, or three years, or ten years into the future, and pledge the income of that future to the expenditures of the present.

And the council had no such right, because the law specifically declares that the expenditures of no year shall exceed the revenues of that year. And the council violated that law—over the protest and the negative vote of James Murdoch.

Mr. Murdoch voted against Mayor Bransford's action in dismissing John Burbridge from the police force, because it clearly was not for the good of the service. Burbridge had been on the force for twenty years. He had risen to the rank of a captain. He was a faithful and efficient officer, an honest and a reputable man—as he is to this day. The one objection to him was that he was—and is—a Mormon. And the American party's foundation principle is measureless and unending enmity to all Mormons.

Mr. Murdoch voted against the dismissal of Tom Pitt, chief of police. Pitt had openly rebelled at Mayor Bransford's commands to drive the scarlet women down into the stockade, and for that he was ousted from office by the mayor. But it was over the protest and against the vote of James Murdoch.

He voted against the appropriation of money for the commercial travelers' celebration, and if he had not he would have done violence to his oath of office. The law plainly forbids the appropriating of money from the public treasury to any use other than public uses. Assisting a society to make a big celebration, no matter what that society may be, is a violation of law. And it cannot rightfully be done.

Now, the limit of the charge against Mr. Murdoch is: He would not violate his oath and disobey the law. Any gentleman who wants to oppose him on that platform is at perfect liberty to do so.

It is the extent of his reactionary position. When the man is mayor, he will uphold and obey the law. He will not disregard the statutes of the state. He will not stamp upon his oath of office. He will not aid the grafters by any act of dishonesty, and he will not close his eyes to their depravity. That may be depended on.

On the other hand, he has spoken plainly his sentiments touching the matter of public improvement. He will favor and assist more paving, more sidewalks, more water service, more sewers—and every other public work proposed—just as fast and just as far as the people want it, and so long as the city has money with which to meet its part of the expenditure.

If you people want more than that, you want a mayor who will not obey the law; a mayor who will ignore his solemn oath of office; a mayor whose pledged word to the people is not kept. And you want—in that case—a mayor who knows no superior excepting that little band of discredited men, the bosses of the American party.

THROWING THEIR FUTURES AWAY.

Many a man formerly in the American party is going to vote the Republican ticket this year because he realizes that a party without national recognition is no place for a true American citizen.

It was one of the cruel incidents of the recent visit of President Taft that many a man who loves the Republican party saw that his so-called American party affiliation made him the petty crier or the open enemy of the President of the United States. Many a one of them saw that the President made choice of the Republicans of Utah instead of giving encouragement to the American party of Salt Lake. And the better a man he is, the more keenly does that ostracism to which he has been condemned punish him.

The ambitious citizen who is also a member of the American party of Salt Lake realized that the only thing that would satisfy his leaders would have been for the President to utterly ignore the Republicans of Utah, and put himself into the hands of the politicians whose only party is a little local one—without pride of ancestry or hope of posterity. And the bitterness of his isolated position went to the soul of the true American citizen who was not permitted to welcome the President because that President would not disavow his Republicanism.

There was no room for the honest man in the local party to make a mistake. His leaders were absolutely intolerant. They would not be decent. They started weeks before the actual visit, making plans for

boorish and indecent exhibitions of hostility to the President. The Utah church affair was not an accident. It was a logical consequence of that hatred against everything identified with the national Republican party which has inspired the leaders of what is known as the American party since its organization.

Every man of the local party remembers the effort his leaders made to prevent any one voting for Taft last fall at the national election. He didn't like it at the time, and he has liked it less as the days pass. He wants to be a Republican, and he knows he can be as good a friend of all worthy works in that larger party as is possible within the smaller circle of a petty coterie.

And in the election on next Tuesday more and more of those men who have been with the so-called American party will go up to the polls and vote the straight Republican ticket. They are tired of throwing their future away.

THEY STAND OR FALL TOGETHER.

John S. Bransford's election means the re-establishment of the stockade. Make no mistake on that score. Here is his own declaration, made in an interview in the Salt Lake Herald, December 8, 1908:

With reference to the proposed new district, the houses of which are in process of construction, I WISH TO SAY THAT I AM THOROUGHLY IN FAVOR OF IT, and that it was at my suggestion that the work was begun.

WITH THE POWER VESTED IN ME AS MAYOR OF SALT LAKE CITY, I propose to take these women from the business section of the city and put them in the new district.

I asked Mr. Mulvey to find some person or company that would consent to erect the buildings in the district after the general plans, and who would consent to have the entire district under constant police regulation. Mr. Mulvey found some people who considered the matter, but finally they decided not to undertake the project.

Then it was that we got into communication with the Ogden people. THEY AGREED TO MY TERMS, and I told them if they did as I wished, and followed out the directions, I gave them my word that I would see to it that the women of the downtown district were removed to the new location. AFTER MY SUGGESTIONS. The buildings are now up, and the removal of the women to the new district will be made very soon.

Most useful to the mayor in rallying the underworld to his support is Martin E. Mulvey, keeper of two saloons, who was thus described editorially by the Salt Lake Tribune, October 30, 1903—he being then as now a candidate for the council from the Fifth:

Martin E. Mulvey is relying for election upon the vote which is distinctly classed as the vote of the underworld; the vote of thieves, prostitutes, and the lawless classes generally. Without the support of that class his chances for election would not be worth the spending of a nickel upon. Yet there is no lack of dirty coin in his campaign. He has been a member of the council before, and he did the city no good. His candidacy now bodes no good to the city.

We call upon all fair and decent-minded citizens of the city to vote against the pretensions of this man, and bury him so deep under their ballots on Tuesday next that—politely—he will never be heard of again.

And most helpful to Mulvey will be George Sheets, whose loafing place is Mulvey's, whose office—under Mayor John Bransford—is actual head of the police department of Salt Lake. And here is a digest of George Sheets' connection with the famous McWhirter case:

George Sheets testified that he was chief of police when McWhirter came into his headquarters in company with the bogus policeman, who wore a police officer's badge. McWhirter asked him if the man was an officer, and Sheets said "No." The pretended policeman, assisted by four others, had robbed McWhirter of ten thousand dollars in cash an hour before. Sheets said he would try and get some of the money back again, but did not arrest the bogus policeman—who was not arrested in the office of the chief. Sheets went into the hall, and returned with the statement that he could get seven hundred and fifty dollars. McWhirter protested, and the chief went out again, and came back with a thousand dollars. He gave that to McWhirter and sent him out of town. The thieves resumed their protected industry. One of them is now serving a sentence in the penitentiary for his part in the transaction.

George Sheets is today chief of detectives and the actual head of the police department of Salt Lake.

An American party victory next Tuesday means the stockade, Mulvey and Sheets—and no responsibility to the people!

DR. McARTHUR IS MISTAKEN.

They are having the hottest kind of a political battle in the city of New York. If you think we Salt Lake people are in earnest, you should read the eastern papers, and see how completely the population of the metropolis has devoted itself to proving that at least two-thirds of the people are villains, red-handed and unashamed.

Out here we fold up our fight along toward mid-night of Saturday, and get back to normal conditions for Sunday. We go to church, and there listen to sermons that are uninteresting with politics. But the New York people who go to church listen to politics as hot as any they can hear in the forums of the East Side. At one of the churches Dr. S. A. McArthur of the Calvary Baptist church delivered a sermon Sunday, from which the following is taken:

The issue is the defeat of Tammany Hall. Tammany Hall is the vilest political organization the world has ever known. There is not today in any land such a band of political pirates as that of Tammany Hall. Its present boss is master of our mayor.

But the good doctor is mistaken. If he knew Salt Lake, he would never claim bad pre-eminence for New York—because the bosses of the American party have made a Tammany here more bold than that of Manhattan.

In New York no Tammany man would say openly that elected and appointed officers are responsible to the bosses. He would not dare declare they were "not responsible to the people for the administration of their offices." Yet that is what the American party bosses say here.

They go farther. They hold that it is worthy and commendable to fling public money as long as it is to be found in the treasury, and then defy the law with anticipating the revenues of future years.

They go farther, and declare that any man who does not approve their system of graft is a traitor to the spirit of the age, a mossback enemy of progress. As soon as the New York Tammany people to come out here with a view of learning some lessons from the bosses of the American party. If we succeed, we are sure of one thing: The local gang will give first aid to the inquiring by stealing whatever the Tammany teachers may bring along.

RECKLESSNESS OR RECTITUDE.

Gentlemen of the American party will observe that their newspaper champion admits the present city policy is to throw discretion to the winds; to forget prudence; to ignore good business judgment; to treat public affairs as a gambler treats the money belonging to other men.

And the Tribune defends that reckless course with the argument that judgment and prudence are evidences of reaction; proofs of a desire to turn back the clock of progress—and all that sort of thing.

Never before have the people of Salt Lake had quite so good an opportunity to decide whether they want recklessness or rectitude to be the guiding policy of their public servants. Never before has the issue between lawful advancement and lawless extravagance been quite so sharply drawn.

Mr. Murdoch stands for all the improvement the people and the city can pay for.

Mr. Bransford stands for a continuation of that irresponsible waste of the people's money which has been the scandal of the present administration.

We believe the people want improvement—just

as fast as they can pay for them. Any one who thinks the people demand improvements for which they cannot pay has mistaken the spirit and the temper of the people of Salt Lake.

Bransford stands for the recklessness of the gambler who plunges with money which costs him nothing, and who loses nothing even if he bankrupts his friends.

Mr. Murdoch stands for sterling honesty, strict obedience to the law, clean administration of affairs, and a true accounting to the people for every penny of their money entrusted to his hands.

OF COURSE THE CITY HAS GROWN.

The Tribune seems more than commonly a-twitter because The Herald-Republican "admits" that Salt Lake has grown in the past five years.

"Admit" is hardly the word. We boast of it. We are proud and glad the city has grown. We have told that excellent truth to more people in the past year than the Tribune, with its meagre circulation, can tell from now until the reformation of its owners. And that is giving them plenty of time.

But we by no means give credit to the American party bosses for the advancement.

The whole people, without regard to political preference, and before the American party was organized—before it had held even its first meeting—started the era of improvement. Their first step was to so amend the laws as to provide payment by scrip for the public works contemplated.

Before the American party was organized the people voted a million dollars to be spent by the city in that great scheme of public improvement upon which they had decided.

And not until after the bosses saw the rich chance for graft did they organize the American party, fall in with the idea—generally adopted long before—and usurp the handling of the money.

The Herald-Republican recognizes the growth of the city, and is proud of it—but neither this paper nor any fair man informed as to the facts, credits the American party with the growth of the city, or the advance of public improvement.

And we know of no deeper depth of depravity than that habit of the American party bosses who declare that every one outside of the American party is opposed to improvement, to advancement, to the modern methods of city equipment.

TAKE A GLANCE AT THEIR RECORD.

There is an especial reason why the women of Salt Lake should give their votes to James Murdoch, Republican candidate for the office of mayor.

In the constitutional convention every member, whether Republican or Democrat, was elected on a platform pledging equal franchise to women. The Democrats of Utah incorporated that pledge in their platform in Provo, and a little later the Republicans made their promise in even more direct and positive terms, at Salt Lake.

When it came to voting on woman suffrage in the convention, James Murdoch, one of the four delegates from Summit county, kept the pledge of his party, obeyed the instructions of his constituents, and voted for equal rights for women.

Tom Kearns and David Keith and C. C. Goodwin all three were members of that convention, and ALL THREE VOTED AGAINST WOMAN SUFFRAGE.

Those three are regarded as at the very head and front of the American party. Each tells the other two they are the body and brains of the organization. They are agreed on it.

But not one of them voted for women's right to the ballot in Utah.

James Murdoch did. You may turn to the proceedings of the constitutional convention, and verify this statement if either of the recalcitrant three denies the charge. The women of Salt Lake should know who have been their friends, and who were violent enough in their rabid distrust of women to violate their oath and their bounden duty, and seek by their votes to deny to woman that right which she is entitled—and which she has so splendidly exercised in all these years.

IMPROVIDENT MR. MULVEY.

It is with regret we report that Mr. Martin Evangel Mulvey, American party candidate from the Fifth, has stopped his paper. That is, he has paid up and ordered a cessation of the delivery at his home of that copy of The Herald-Republican which has been going there.

We don't care a great deal for the one "stop," because a good many new names have been added to the subscription list from the Fifth because this journal is telling the people there what sort of man is demanding their votes on next Tuesday. But we hate it because of our care for Mulvey.

And he is making a mistake. Martin is reading the paper right along, of course. And since the Tribune grudgingly admits that Martin is honest, we will have to conclude that he is buying the paper. Surely he wouldn't stoop to read a copy that had been bought by one of his customers, and left at either of the Mulvey bars.

Martin Evangel Mulvey was getting the paper for twenty-five cents a month—and it was worth it. That is a little less than three cents the copy.

Now he is paying five cents for each morning's review of his past and promise of his future. The excess of two cents a day is wasted.

And anybody who thinks Martin doesn't care for two cents never saw him coax the last drop down: the incline from the bottom of the glass to his tip-tilted and receptive mouth.

Furthermore, improvidence in a candidate is not a good recommendation for a councilman.

UTAH'S TRIUMPH AT SEATTLE.

Never again permit any man to speak in slighting terms of Utah. The record made by this city at the Seattle fair is enough to silence all cavilling—excepting that of the Tribune. And that, like the flies, is one of the things we all disapprove, and expect in time to exterminate.

The people who went to the fair found Utah a land of milk and honey, with fruit better and more abundant than has been found since those old days when Joshua and his fellows went across the Jordan and brought back grapes from the land of Canaan.

The state gets a gold medal for honey. And these mornings of hot biscuits and trimmings will easily convince every one that the judgments were right in placing their award.

There are preserved milks from Cache and figs from Dixie—with all the foods and minerals and grains and candies that lie so abundantly between.

The people of the state have achieved a victory at the Seattle fair. They will do an even more effective service to Utah by their exhibits and their labors at the Land and Irrigation exposition to be held in Chicago at the end of November.

A correspondent tells us we are wasting time directing attacks on George Sheets, because "Mayor Bransford has pledged himself to make George walk the plank right after election." We dare John Bransford to make that statement public.

Before John S. Bransford so openly declared himself on the stockade, he should have remembered what old General Beaver telegraphed to Matt Quay in the beginning of the latter's political career: "Dear Matt—Don't talk."

We notice that the Tribune doesn't dare risk the loss of its few remaining supporters by defending John Bransford's position on the stockade.

Children's and Misses' Coats Selling Rapidly at One-Fourth Off

Z. C. M. I.'s announcement that 500 sample coats—the newest things of the season—would be placed on sale at one-fourth less than the regular price, brought customers hurrying here yesterday—just as good bargains will be in evidence today.

Ages 8 to 14 and 15, 16, 17, 18
ONE-FOURTH OFF



OUR DRUG STORE IS AT
112-114 SOUTH MAIN ST.

LAURELHURST ACRES
ADDITION

Located on the most beautiful part of the city—East Bench

- Has first class water right
- A full water right goes with each acre
- City Water Conduit and Electric Light line now runs through the top of this property
- Will soon have street car service
- Buy in advance if you want to make money
- Why buy small lots in the same vicinity and pay almost as much
- One Laurelhurst acre equals ten 25-foot lots
- Investigate this before buying elsewhere
- Free auto trips every hour

—Phone

Chandler & Soules Company
425 ATLAS BLOCK
Bell 3402 Ind. 1137

Chiropractic Cures When All Other Methods Fail



There's very seldom a week passes but what some person who has suffered many years and tried many so-called "cures" turns in despair to

Chiropractic

THE DRUGLESS METHOD

Almost invariably permanent cures are made, even though the disease be one of long standing. All stomach, liver and kidney troubles, female troubles and nerve disorders yield to the treatments. Consultations and examinations are free.

MRS. G. B. H. PICKARD

Chiropractor

217-218 Herald Bldg.

Hours: 9 to 12 and 2 to 5

Here's a good nourishing meal for 5 cents.

SHREDDED
WHEAT

Biscuit with half pint of milk, a little fruit and a cup of coffee. Delicious and strengthening. Try it.

Boston Dental Parlors
NEW LOCATION, - - 120 MAIN STREET

SPECIAL LOW PRICES FOR 30 DAYS.

\$15.00 set of teeth \$7.50 (Gold crowns \$5.00
No better made at any price. Bridge work, per tooth \$5.00

Porcelain, gold and silver fillings at reasonable prices for honest work. No students employed. Painless extracting a specialty. Office open evenings and Sundays. All work guaranteed.

Ind. Tel. 1219.

DR. BOYD, Mgr.

DELINQUENT NOTICE.

FEDERAL ELY COPPER COMPANY. Location of principal place of business Salt Lake City, Utah. Notice: There are delinquent upon the following described stock on account of assessment No. 3, levied April 23, 1909, the several amounts set opposite the names of the respective shareholders, as follows:

Corr. No.	Name.	Shares.	Am't.
31	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
32	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
33	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
34	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
35	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
36	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
37	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
38	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
39	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
40	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
41	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
42	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
43	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
44	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
45	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
46	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
47	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
48	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
49	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
50	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
51	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
52	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
53	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
54	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
55	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
56	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
57	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
58	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
59	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
60	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
61	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
62	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
63	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
64	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
65	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
66	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
67	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
68	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
69	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
70	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
71	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
72	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
73	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
74	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
75	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
76	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
77	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
78	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
79	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
80	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
81	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
82	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
83	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
84	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
85	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
86	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
87	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
88	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
89	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
90	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
91	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
92	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
93	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
94	W. A. Lee	1,000	20.00
95	Gordon Grant, part of 100	10	2.00
96	C. L. Whitney	200	6.00
97	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
98	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
99	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
100	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
101	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
102	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
103	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
104	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
105	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
106	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
107	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
108	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
109	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
110	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
111	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
112	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
113	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
114	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
115	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
116	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
117	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
118	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
119	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
120	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
121	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
122	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
123	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
124	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
125	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
126	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
127	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
128	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
129	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
130	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
131	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
132	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
133	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
134	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
135	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
136	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
137	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
138	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
139	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
140	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
141	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
142	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
143	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
144	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
145	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
146	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
147	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
148	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
149	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
150	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
151	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
152	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
153	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
154	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
155	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
156	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
157	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
158	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
159	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
160	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
161	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
162	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
163	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
164	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
165	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
166	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
167	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
168	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
169	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
170	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
171	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
172	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
173	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
174	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
175	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
176	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
177	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
178	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
179	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
180	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
181	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
182	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
183	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
184	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
185	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
186	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
187	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
188	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
189	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
190	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
191	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
192	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
193	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
194	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
195	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
196	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
197	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
198	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
199	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
200	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
201	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
202	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
203	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
204	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
205	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
206	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
207	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
208	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
209	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
210	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
211	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
212	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
213	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
214	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
215	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
216	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
217	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
218	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
219	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
220	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
221	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
222	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
223	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
224	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
225	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
226	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
227	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
228	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
229	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
230	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
231	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
232	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
233	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
234	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
235	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
236	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
237	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
238	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
239	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
240	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
241	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
242	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
243	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
244	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
245	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
246	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
247	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
248	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
249	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
250	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
251	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
252	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
253	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
254	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
255	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
256	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
257	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
258	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
259	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
260	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
261	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
262	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
263	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
264	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
265	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
266	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
267	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
268	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
269	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
270	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
271	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
272	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
273	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
274	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
275	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
276	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
277	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
278	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
279	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
280	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
281	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
282	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
283	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
284	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
285	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
286	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
287	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
288	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
289	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
290	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
291	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
292	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
293	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
294	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
295	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
296	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
297	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
298	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
299	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
300	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
301	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
302	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
303	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
304	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
305	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
306	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
307	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
308	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
309	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
310	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
311	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
312	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
313	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
314	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
315	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
316	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
317	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
318	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
319	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
320	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
321	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
322	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
323	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
324	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
325	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
326	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
327	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
328	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
329	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
330	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
331	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
332	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
333	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
334	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
335	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
336	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
337	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
338	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
339	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
340	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
341	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
342	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
343	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
344	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
345	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
346	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
347	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
348	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
349	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00
350	C. L. Whitney	100	2.00